Attachment D

St. Cloud Hospital Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Worksheet Adapted from a template utilized by Good Samaritan Hospital, Dayton, Ohio

RCA #:

	he Week Time	
Was a Critical Incident Stress De-Briefing (CISD) co		
Was this event reportable to the MN Patient Safety I	Registry? No Yes Initial Entry Date:	RCA Entry Date:
MN PATIENT SAFETY EVENT CATEO	GORY	
Certain events have additional questions to address in	the Registry – See reference notations in event catego	ries below.
SURGICAL EVENTS (* Reference F1) Surgery wrong body part * Surgery wrong patient * Wrong surgical procedure performed on patient * Retention of a foreign object Death during or immediately after surgery, normal, healthy patient	PRODUCT OR DEVICE EVENTS Patient death or serious disability – use of contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics provided by the facility Patient death or serious disability - device used or functions other than as intended Patient death or serious disability – intravascular	PATIENT PROTECTION EVENTS Infant discharged to the wrong person Patient death or serious disability associated with patient disappearance Patient suicide or attempted suicide resulting in serious disability
Treating pattern	air embolism while being cared for in a facility	
CARE MANAGEMENT EVENTS (* Reference F2) □ Patient death or serious disability with a medication error, involving the wrong drug, the wrong dose, the wrong patient, the wrong time, the wrong rate, the wrong preparation, or the wrong route of administration □ Patient death or serious disability – hemolytic reaction due to the administration of ABO/HLA – incompatible blood or blood products □ Maternal death or serious disability associated with labor or delivery in a low-risk pregnancy □ Patient death or serious disability associated with hypoglycemia □ Death or serious disability, including kernicterus – failure to identify and treat hyperbilirubinemia in neonates during the first 28 days of life □ Stage 3, 4 or unstageable pressure ulcers acquired after admission * □ Patient death or serious disability due to spinal manipulative therapy CAUSATION STATEMENT:	ENVIRONMENTAL EVENTS (* Reference F3) □ Patient death or serious disability – electric shock □ Line designated for oxygen or other gas to be delivered to a patient contains the wrong gas or is contaminated by toxic substances □ Patient death or serious disability – burn incurred from any source □ Patient death or serious disability – fall * □ Patient death or serious disability – use or lack of restraints or bedrails	CRIMINAL EVENTS ☐ Instance of care ordered by or provided by someone impersonating a physician, nurse, pharmacist, or other licensed health care provider ☐ Abduction of patient any age ☐ Sexual assault on patient within or on the grounds of a facility ☐ Death or significant injury of a patient or staff member resulting from a physical assault that occurs within or on the grounds
CAUSATION STATEMENT:		
After analysis, was this event considered to be? Disclosure to patient/family? □ Yes □ No	☐ Preventable ☐ Non-preventable Was staffing a contributing factor in this eve	nt? ☐ Yes ☐ No

ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS MEETING (RCA) Date of RCA Meeting: TEAM MEMBERS of RCA meeting (by Title) ☐ PI Date Report Completed: Facilitator ☐ VP of Area Director of Area/s (list)______ Others___ **DEFINE THE EVENT:** Define the event briefly and attach Sequence of Events (What happened, when did it happen, and what was the outcome. NOTE: If this was a pressure ulcer event, include the stage and body site of the pressure ulcer.) **DEFINE THE CURRENT PROCESS:** (Bullet point key components of the process) What steps of the Process appeared to impact this process?

A. EQUIPMENT / SUPPLIES FACTORS

	nctioning, misusing) a factor in this event? Consider: Did sequestering ch as ECRI, MedWatch and FDA checked?	g of equipme	ent occur?
(Note: If unsure review the causes listed b			
□ No □ You If you Why?	World come for a Factoria and accommod 2		
Yes If yes, Why?	Would correction eliminate reoccurrence?	Check approp	riate column
a, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing
Check all that apply Then			Factor
☐ Preventive Maintenance missing / late			
☐ Equipment inappropriate for task			
☐ Equipment /device not available when needed			
Equipment not functioning correctly			
☐ Inadequate controls, alarms, or cues			
☐ Instructions for safe operation not known			
☐ Personal preference for method / tool			
Other:			
A2. Does this event meet the criteria fo (Note: If unsure review the causes listed by			
☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, Why?			
A3. Would there be benefit for other or	ganizations to be alerted to this type of event?		
Yes Report into ECRI. Date Repo	orted: By Whom:		

A. EQUIPMENT / SUPPLIES FACTORS - continued

A4. Was distribution of supplies (including meds, IVs, Blood)a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below)						
☐ No						
Yes If yes, Why?			Would correction eliminate reoccurrence?			
				Check appro	priate column	
↓ Check all that apply	Then	Describ	pe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor	
☐ Similar appearance to like pro	oduct					
☐ Inconsistent location for supp	ly					
☐ Unclear labeling of supply						
☐ Inconsistent methods & proce	edures					
☐ Procedure not identified / follo	owed					
☐ Other:						
	l			<u> </u>	ı	
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN Action Plans should establish practic		ndent redundancies	designed to engineer errors out of current and new	w methods, procedui	res or	
			ependent redundancies should be shared on a hou			
processes. Where appreciate and app	Person	3 changes and mak	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy,	Jewide Basis.		
Action Taken / To be Taken	Responsible for Action Plan	Implementati on Date	frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)	Reportir Commur	_	
			,			

B. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

B1. Was inadequate building safety a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below)								
☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, Why?	Would correction eliminate reoccurrence?							
▼		Check approp	riate column					
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor					
☐ Path obstructed / not clearly marked								
☐ Area under construction								
☐ Unique care environment concerns								
☐ Safety procedures not known / followed or inadequate emergency or failure mode responses planned and tested								
☐ Inadequate / delayed security response								
☐ Inadequate barriers to high-risk areas								
☐ Inadequate systems to identify environmental risks								
☐ Area not meeting codes, specifications and other applicable regulations								
☐ Other:								

B. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS – continued

B2. Was location, physical layout, or visibility of the work area a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below)						
□ No □ Yes If yes, Why? Would correction eliminate reoccurrence?						
<u> </u>		Dogo::le		Check approp		
Check all that apply	Then	Describ	pe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor	
Area cramped, cluttered, soil	led					
☐ Area noisy, multiple distraction	ns					
Lengthy distances between wareas	vork					
☐ Poor visibility of event area						
☐ Location inappropriate for tas	k					
☐ Uncontrollable external factor	rs					
Other:						
	<u> </u>			1		
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN: Action Plans should establish practice changes and independent redundancies designed to engineer errors out of current and new methods, procedures or processes. Where applicable and appropriate, such practices changes and independent redundancies should be shared on a housewide basis.						
Action Taken / To be Taken	Person Responsible for Action Plan	Implementati on Date	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)	Reportii Commui	_	

C. PATIENT Factors

OT TATTE ITT TOOLOTO								
C1. Were pre-disposing conditions, medical history, or co-morbidity's a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below)								
☐ No☐ Yes If yes, Why?	Would correction eliminate reoccurrence?							
*		Check approp	riate column					
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor					
☐ Unable to follow directions								
☐ Unwilling to follow directions								
☐ Immobile, physical limitations								
☐ Severely compromised, multiple comorbidities								
☐ Incomplete history, assessment, relevant information								
☐ Plan of care inadequate to meet needs								
☐ Interventions inadequate to meet needs								
☐ Demographic factors: age, gender, race/ethnicity								
Other:								

Action Taken / To be Taken	Person Responsible for Action Plan	Implementati on Date	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)	Reporting and Communication

D. RULES / POLICIES / PROCEDURE Factors

D1. Were standards (policies, procedures, regulations) or compliance to standards a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the								
causes listed below) ☐ No ☐ Yes If yes	, Why?		Would correction eliminate reo	ccurrence?				
▼				Check approp	riate column			
Check all that apply	Then	——→ Describ	be the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor			
☐ Standards not available / accessing Standards not known or understorm of Standards known but not practice of Compliance to standard not enform of Standards redundant, inconvenient conflict with other standards of Barriers to comply with standards of Other:	ood ed rced ent, or							
D2. Was documentation (abser	nt, altered, inaccura	ate, incomplete,	illegible) a factor in this event?	·				
(Note: If unsure review the cause	s listed below)	-	- '					
☐ No ☐ Yes If yes	, Why?		Would correction eliminate reoccurre	ence?				
*				Check appropriate	column			
Check all that apply	Then	Describe	the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor			
Multiple locations for the docume Not recorded within specified time Computer not available / function Documentation or other informati available/accurate/complete Documentation policy not known Given lower priority than other tall Documentation or orders not legil Unapproved abbreviations used Other:	e/absent ning ion not sks ible							
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN	= =							
			s designed to engineer errors out of current and new		es or			
processes. Where applicable and app	propriate, such practice	es changes and ind	ependent redundancies should be shared on a hous	sewide basis.				
Action Taken / To be Taken	Person Responsible for Action Plan	Implementati on Date	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)	Reporting Communic				
1		ĺ						

E. PEOPLE Factors (Staff Training / Scheduling) Identify all disciplines involved in the event (not by indivdual name): Physicians/Providers PCA's RN's Other staff (list): LPN's E1. Was lack of knowledge or information a factor in this event? Attach staff credentialing and performance information. (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below) ☐ Yes If yes, Why? No Would correction eliminate reoccurrence? Check appropriate column Check all that apply Then-Describe the deviation and the cause Root Cause Contributing Factor Patient not properly identified ☐ Inadequate communication of patient assessment and treatments between shifts, departments, disciplines (e.g., nurse: nurse; MD: nurse; MD: MD; Nurse: Patient / Family; MD: Patient/Family) Patient's has limited English proficiency (ASL, Somali, Spanish, Vietnamese, etc) phone interpreter, onsite interpreter used for key communication Discharge instructions did not consider language, health literacy, cultural beliefs, or reading level of patient Chain of Command not utilized Barriers to communicate potential risk factors ☐ Inadequate communication of prevention strategies for adverse outcomes ☐ Inadequate orientation, training Inadequate qualification to perform task ☐ Culture not conducive to risk identification and reduction Cross cultural differences between staff/MD; patient/staff; staff/staff ☐ Information not easily accessible Unclear instructions r/t task Inadequate resources for clarification

Other:

E. PEOPLE Factors (Staff Training / Scheduling) - continued

E2. People Factors			
*		Check approp	riate column
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor
1. Were there any factors that would increase the likelihood of a human error happening? If yes, what were they and why?			
☐ No ☐ Yes			
 Consider system/process designs to prevent the human error factor. 			
2. Were there any factors that would increase the likelihood of someone making a choice for At Risk Behavior or violation of existing policies/procedures? If yes, what were they and why?			
☐ No ☐ Yes			
Consider system/process designs to prevent/mitigate the likelihood of someone choosing the At Risk Behavior choice or violating existing policies/procedures.			

E. PEOPLE Factors (Staff Training / Scheduling) - continued

E3. Was lack of ability, supervision, or staffing a factor in this event? Attach staffing grid for shifts surrounding event.								
(Note: If unsure review the causes listed b	elow) tentially involved in the event, including nursing, pharmacy, medical and o	thar staff a	•					
appropriate.	entiany involved in the event, including hursing, pharmacy, medical and of	lilei Slaii a	3					
ирргоргисс.								
□ No								
Yes If yes, Why?	Would correction prevent reoccurrence?							
Olas de la la la desarda de la Titala		Check approp						
Check all that apply Then —	► Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor					
MN Adverse Event Registry Staffing Sp	ecific Questions.							
Did staff who were involved in the								
event believe that staffing was								
appropriate to provide safe care?								
☐ No ☐ Yes								
If no, did staff who were involved in the								
event believe that staffing issues								
contributed to the event?								
No Yes								
Did actual staffing deviate from the								
planned staffing at the time of the								
adverse event, or during key times								
that led up to the adverse event?								
□ No □ Yes								
Were there any unexpected issues or								
incidents that occurred at the time of								
the adverse event, or during key times								
that led up to the adverse event?								
□ No □ Yes								
If yes, did the unexpected issue/incident								
impact staffing or workload for staff								
involved in the adverse event? ☐ No ☐ Yes								
If yes, did staff who were involved in the								
adverse event believe that this change in								
staffing or workload contributed to the								
adverse event?								
□ No □ Yes								

E. PEOPLE Factors (Staff Training / Scheduling) - continued

Other triggering questions to e analysis:	xpand					
☐ Physical difficulties performing	g tasks					
☐ Demanding task load, time pr	essure					
☐ Inadequate staffing, skill mix*	*					
☐ Inadequate observation of performance						
☐ Inadequate feedback to guide practice)					
☐ Fatigue - overtime, back to b shifts	ack					
☐ Change of shift						
Other:						
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN: Action Plans should establish practice changes and independent redundancies designed to engineer errors out of current and new methods, procedures or processes. Where applicable and appropriate, such practices changes and independent redundancies should be shared on a housewide basis.						
Action Taken / To be Taken	Person Responsible f Action Plan	or Implementati on Date	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)		Reportin	_

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable)

F1. Wrong Site Event						
Was this a wrong site event?						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
If yes, address the following Universal F	Protocol Question	s:	Would correct	ion eliminate reoccurre		
★		-			Check approp	
Check all that apply Then—	-	Describe the	deviation and the d	cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor
Did the OR schedule and informed						
consent match?						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
2. Did the surgeon sign the patient site in						
pre-op?						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
3. Did the surgeon sign the patient site						
with his/her initials?						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
4. Was there active, verbal participation						
in a time out or pause before the						
procedure or incision? If not, why not.						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
5. If the procedure site had internal						
laterally, was there a second pause that						
occurred?						
□ No □ Yes						
6. Was this a spinal procedure? If so,						
answer questions below.						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
If yes, answer questions below.						
a. Was there a pre x-ray available for						
the surgeon? ☐ No ☐ Yes						
b. Was there an intra-op x-ray taken						
and comparison to the pre-op x-ray? ☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, Why?						
c. Was the level marked on the outside						
of the patient body with the surgeon's						
initials?						
□ No □ Yes If yes, Why?						

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable)

F2. Pressure Ulcer Event Yes	□ No		
<u></u>	_	Check appropriate column	
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor
Pressure ulcer risk assessment (Braden)			1
was documented on admission and daily			1
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			
2. Skin inspection was documented on			
admission and daily_			
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			
3. Removal of devices such as stockings			
and splints were documented each shift			
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			
4. The documented care plan linked risk			
assessment findings to specific			
preventative interventions			
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			
5. Patients with impaired sensory			
perception, mobility, and activity as defined			
by the Braden scale had the following			
interventions documented			
Repositioning q 2hrs			
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			
Heels off of bed			
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			
Appropriate support surfaces			
(mattresses, chair cushions) for			
pressure redistribution			
□ No □ Yes □ NA			1
6. Patients with friction/shear risk as			
defined by the Braden scale had HOB 30			
degrees or less documented (if medical			
contraindicated, there was an MD order and			
an alternative plan was documented to			
prevent shear injury)			
□ No □ Yes □ NA			
7. Patients with nutritional deficits as			
defined by the Braden scale were followed			,
by dietary services once the deficit was			,
identified			,
□ No □ Yes □ NA		İ	,

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable)

F2. Pressure Ulcer Event			
▼		Check appropriate column	
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor
8. Patients with incontinence have documentation of perineal cleanser and barrier use and the underlying cause is addressed No Yes NA			
9. Patient/family skin safety education and patient response was documented			
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			
10. Standard skin safety interventions that were determined to be medically contraindicated or inconsistent with the patient's overall goals were documented or ordered by an MD and reevaluated routinely			
□ No □ Yes □ NA			
11. Inability to adhere to standard skin safety interventions (i.e., noncompliance) was documented with evidence of patient/family education and ongoing efforts to reeducated or modify care plan			
□ No □ Yes □ NA			

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable) F3. Fall Event No Yes Check appropriate column Check all that apply → Describe the deviation and the cause Then-Root Cause Contributing Factor 1. Does your facility have a falls team that regularly evaluates your falls program? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 2. Was a Fall Risk Screening documented at admission? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 3. Was a validated, reliable fall risk screening tool used? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 4. Did the screening tool indicate patient was at risk for falls? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 5. If screening tool did not indicate patient was at risk for falls: 5a) Was patient still placed at risk due to clinical judgment? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 5b) If yes, what were the additional factors that placed the patient at risk? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 5c) Were universal fall precautions in place (e.g. items placed within patient's reach, room clear of clutter)? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 6. If patient was determined to be at risk for falling: Was re-screening documented: 6a) Every 24 hours, minimum (within the 48 hours prior to the fall)? □ No □ Yés □ NA 6b) Upon transfer between units?

☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA

6c) Upon change of status?
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA

□ No □ Yes □ NA

6d) Post-fall?

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable) F3. Fall Event Check appropriate column Describe the deviation and the cause Check all that apply Then-Root Cause Contributing Factor 7. Was there a visual indication alerting staff to patient's at-risk status? □ No □ Yes □ NA If yes, what type? 8. Was a fall prevention intervention plan documented? □ No □ Yes □ NA 9. Did the intervention plan focus on the patient's specific risk factors? \square No \square Yes \square NA 10. Was patient/family education completed? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 11. When was patient rounding last conducted for this patient to check for pain, positioning and potty? {<30 minutes prior to fall; <1 hour prior to fall; <2 hours prior to fall; <2 hours prior to fall; unknown} □ No □ Yes □ NA 12. Was equipment to reduce risk for fall/ injury in place? ☐ No ☐ Yes \square NA If yes, what type? 13. Was patient on culprit meds within 24 hours of fall? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA If so, which medication? **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:** Action Plans should establish practice changes and independent redundancies designed to engineer errors out of current and new methods, procedures or processes. Where applicable and appropriate, such practices changes and independent redundancies should be shared on a housewide basis. Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes Person Responsible for Implementati Reporting and a threshold that will trigger additional analysis Action Plan Communication on Date Action Taken / To be Taken and/or action if not achieved.)

G.	. CITE ANY BOOKS OR JOUR	RNAL ARTICLES TI	HAT WERE CON	SIDERED IN DEVELOPING THIS ANA	LYSIS AND ACTION PLAN:
0.		alling other hospitals	. Can also use S	Sentinel Alert information, MN Patient Ro	
Н.	WHAT ARE THE KEY LEAR	NINGS FROM THIS	EVENT TO SHA	RE WITH OTHER FACILITIES TO EN	HANCE SAFETY? (Required).

1/22/2010 W:\HONKOMP\Frechette\Root Cause Analysis Worksheet.doc